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**Responding to this paper**

ESMA invites comments on all matters in this paper and in particular on the specific questions summarised in Annex 1. Comments are most helpful if they:

* respond to the question stated;
* indicate the specific question to which the comment relates;
* contain a clear rationale; and
* describe any alternatives ESMA should consider.

ESMA will consider all comments received by **5 July 2019.**

All contributions should be submitted online at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading ‘Your input - Consultations’. Please follow the instructions given in the document ‘Reply form for the call for evidence on position limits and position management controls in commodity derivatives’ also published on the ESMA website.

**Instructions**

In order to facilitate analysis of responses to the Call for Evidence, respondents are requested to follow the below steps when preparing and submitting their response:

1. Insert your responses to the questions in the Call for Evidence in the present response form.
2. Please do not remove tags of the type <ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_1>. Your response to each question has to be framed by the two tags corresponding to the question.
3. If you do not wish to respond to a given question, please do not delete it but simply leave the text “TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE” between the tags.
4. When you have drafted your response, name your response form according to the following convention: ESMA\_PLPM\_nameofrespondent\_RESPONSEFORM. For example, for a respondent named ABCD, the response form would be entitled ESMA\_PLPM\_ABCD\_RESPONSEFORM.
5. Upload the form containing your responses, in Word format, to ESMA’s website ([www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading “Your input – Open consultations” 🡪 “Call for Evidence on Position limits and position management in commodities derivatives”).

**Publication of responses**

All contributions received will be published following the close of the consultation, unless you request otherwise. Please clearly and prominently indicate in your submission any part you do not wish to be publically disclosed. A standard confidentiality statement in an email message will not be treated as a request for non-disclosure. A confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with ESMA’s rules on access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make not to disclose the response is reviewable by ESMA’s Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

**Data protection**

Information on data protection can be found at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading [Legal Notice](http://www.esma.europa.eu/legal-notice).

**Who should read this paper**

All interested stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation paper. This consultation paper is primarily of interest to trading venues, investment firms and non-financial counterparties trading in commodity derivatives, but responses are also sought from any other market participant including trade associations, industry bodies and investors.

**General information about respondent**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of the company / organisation | DDV, German Derivatives Association |
| Activity | Banking sector |
| Are you representing an association? |[x]
| Country/Region | Germany |

**Introduction**

***Please make your introductory comments below, if any***

<ESMA\_COMMENT\_PLPM\_1>

The DDV, as representative of the the leading issuers of structured securities in Germany, welcomes the opportunity to comment on ESMAs Call for Evidence on position limits and position management in commodity derivatives. We are of the view that with respect to securitised derivatives the MiFID II / MiFIR position limits regime has so far been able to function in a reasonable manner so we see no need for any amendments in this regard. Against this background, we would only like to comment briefly on Q14 of this Call for Evidence.

<ESMA\_COMMENT\_PLPM\_1>

**Questions**

1. : In your view, what impact, if any, did the introduction of position limits have on the availability and liquidity of commodity derivative markets? What are in your views the main factors driving this development, e.g. the mere existence of a position limit and position reporting regime, some specific characteristics of the position limit regime or the level at which position limits are set? Please elaborate by differentiating per commodity asset class or contract where relevant and provide evidence to support your assessment.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_1>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_1>

1. : Have you identified other structural changes in commodity derivative markets or in the underlying markets since the introduction of the MiFID II position limit regime, such as changes in market participants? If so, please provide examples, and where available data, and differentiate per commodity derivative asset class where relevant.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_2>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_2>

1. : Do you consider that position limits contribute to the prevention of market abuse in commodity derivatives markets? Please elaborate by differentiating per conduct, per commodity asset classes or contract where relevant and provide evidence to support your assessment when available.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_3>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_3>

1. : In your view, what impact do position limits have on the orderly pricing and orderly settlement of commodity derivative contracts? Please elaborate by differentiating per asset class or per contract where relevant and provide evidence to support your answer when available.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_4>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_4>

1. : More generally, and beyond the specific items identified above, what would be your overall assessment of the impact of position limits on EU commodity derivatives markets since the application of MiFID II?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_5>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_5>

1. : Do you consider that position management controls have an impact on the liquidity of commodity derivatives markets? If so, please elaborate, differentiating per commodity derivative trading venues or contract where appropriate.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_6>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_6>

1. : Do you consider that position management controls adopted by commodity derivative trading venues have a role on the prevention of market abuse? If so, please elaborate, differentiating per commodity derivative trading venues or contract where appropriate.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_7>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_7>

1. : Do you consider that position management controls adopted by commodity derivative trading venues have a role on orderly pricing and settlement conditions? If so, please elaborate, differentiating per commodity derivative trading venues or contract where appropriate.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_8>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_8>

1. : If you are a commodity derivative trading venue, please explain how you have been exercising your position management controls since MiFID II application. In particular, how frequently did you ask further information on the size or purpose of a position, on beneficial owners or assets and liabilities in the underlying commodity under Article 57(1)(b) of MiFID II, require a person to terminate or reduce a position under Article 57(1)(c) of MiFID II, require a person to provide liquidity back into the market under Article 57(1)(d) of MiFID II or exercise any of your additional position management controls?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_9>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_9>

1. : Do you have any general comment on the position limit regime and associated position reporting introduced by MiFID II?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_10>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_10>

1. : In your view, how will EU commodity derivatives markets be impacted by the UK leaving the EU? What consequences do you expect from Brexit on the commodity derivatives regime under MiFID II?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_11>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_11>

1. : Taking into consideration the intended purposes of position limits, do you consider that they deliver the same benefit across all commodity asset classes and across all types of commodity derivatives? Please explain.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_12>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_12>

1. : Would you see benefits in limiting the application of position limits to a more limited set of commodity derivatives? If so, to which ones and on which criteria?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_13>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_13>

1. : More specifically, are you facing any issue with the application of position limits to securitised derivatives? If so, please elaborate.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_14>

We are of the view that the approach taken by ESMA and the European Commission as regards securitized derivatives provides a workable solution in practice. Therefore, there is no need for specific amendments in this regard. This holds especially true for the provisions for illiquid securities pursuant to Art. 15 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/591. If ESMA considers the amendment of this provision in respect of derivatives other than securitized derivatives then we recommend an in-depth assessment of the consequences for different classes of securities, including securitized derivatives.<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_14>

1. : Do you consider that there would be merits in reviewing the definition of EEOTC contracts? If so, please explain the changes you would suggest.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_15>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_15>

1. : In your view, would there be a need to review the MiFID II position limit exemptions? If so, please elaborate and explain which changes would be desirable.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_16>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_16>

1. : Would you see merits in the approach described above and the additional flexibility provided to CAs for setting the spot month limit in cash settled contracts? Please explain.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_17>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_17>

1. : Would you see benefits to review the approach for setting position limits for new and illiquid contracts? If so, what would you suggest?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_18>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_18>

1. : Would you see merits in a more forward-looking approach to the calculation of open interest used as a baseline for setting position limits? Please elaborate.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_19>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_19>

1. : In your view, are there other specific areas where the methodology for calculating the position limits set out in RTS 21 should be reviewed? If so, what would you suggest, and why?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_20>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_20>

1. : How useful do you consider the information on position management controls available on ESMA’s website?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_21>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_21>

1. : Do you consider that there is a need to review the list of minimum position management controls to be implemented by commodity derivatives trading venues under Article 57(8) of MiFID II? If so, please explain the changes you would suggest.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_22>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_PLPM\_22>